

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office / Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,754	Ī	01/21/2001	William G. Noles	IRC288 (I4060/197833)	1258
23370	7590	03/27/2003			
JOHN S. P.	-	•	EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET				DORSEY, DENNIS	
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3637	· - · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/766,754	NOLES, WILLIAM G.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Dennis L Dorsey	3637
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu eply received by the Office later than three months after the maili d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	December 2002 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	vance except for formal ma r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement.	
9) 🗌 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)🛛 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•	•
11) 🔲 T	The proposed drawing correction filed on		* *
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	•
12)□ 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No.
	Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B	ority documents have been	<u>—</u>
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		,
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.		
Attachment	(s)		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ection Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baltes EP Patent 0 297 684 A1.

Baltes '684 teaches all the limitations of the above claims including an apparatus with an energy source or hot air gun (10), a structure (1), frame (9), guide (12, 13), and rollers (2).

The preamble claims an apparatus, thus any limitations to the modules carry little to no patentable weight. For example, the limitation of "contacting a textile face of the floor covering is inherent since all structural limitations are met.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Art Unit: 3637

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hubbard et al. Patent Number 5,935,357

Hubbard et al. '357 teaches all the limitations of the above claims including an apparatus with an energy source or hot air gun (20), a structure (22), adjustable frame or cradle (column 6, lines 1-5), guide (30, 32, column 5, lines 30-40), rollers (62, 64), handle (36), and projecting arms (see Figure 1) with rotating members (24).

The preamble claims an apparatus, thus any limitations to the modules carry little to no patentable weight. For example, the limitation of "contacting a textile face of the floor covering is inherent since all structural limitations are met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP Patent XP002137953 (JP 59 155218 A) in view of Baltes '684.

JP 59 155218 A teaches all the limitations of the above claims except the use of a hot air gun to apply heat and pressure. JP 59 155218 teaches cutting a carpet into required shape and applying heat and pressure to the edge of the textile pile face carpet tile to form a recess edge. Baltes '684 teaches a method of positioning the hot air gun

Art Unit: 3637

on the carriage (1) and moving across the floor covering (3), maintaining first reference structure (2) and second reference structure (12, 13) rotatable or hinged (column 2, lines 24-27). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hot air gun as taught by Baltes to apply heat and pressure to the edge of the carpet tile to change the appearance since it is held to within the skill of a worker to select a well known technique for ease of production of the carpet tile.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed December 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant is again reminded that that in a U.S.C. 102 rejection when the preamble claims an apparatus, any limitations to the modules carry little to no patentable weight. For example, the limitation of "contacting a textile face of the floor covering is inherent since all structural limitations are met. Thus Blates '684 and Hubbard et al. '357 provide a teaching for all the limitations to the apparatus.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3637

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-

9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for

regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5